

Determination of Brominated Flame Retardants (BFRs) in Fish Tissue using an Optimized Extraction/Cleanup Procedure and the Agilent 7000 Triple Quadrupole GC/MS System

**Application Note** 

Food Safety



Abstract

This application note shows the development and validation of a high throughput, sensitive and cost-effective analytical method for the simultaneous identification and quantification of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and different types of alternative brominated flame retardants (ABFRs) in fish muscle tissue. A substantial simplification of sample processing prior to the quantitative step was achieved: after addition of water to a homogenized sample, transfer of hydrophobic analytes into ethyl accetate was supported by the addition of inorganic salts. Bulk fat, contained in the crude organic extract obtained by partition, was subsequently removed on a silica minicolumn. Finally, fish extracts were analyzed using gas chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (GC/MS/MS) in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode on an Agilent 7890 GC with an Agilent 7000B Triple Quadrupole GC/MS system.



# **Agilent Technologies**

# Authors

Kamila Kalachova, Jana Pulkrabova, Tomas Cajka, and Jana Hajslova Department of Food Analysis and Nutrition Institute of Chemical Technology, Prague Technicka 3 166 28 Prague 6 Czech Republic

Chris Sandy Agilent Technologies UK Ltd 610 Wharfedale Road Winnersh Triangle Wokingham Berkshire RG41 5TP UK

# Introduction

There is evidence that fish consumption, especially of fatty fish (such as salmon, which typically contains omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, such as eicosapentaenoic (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acids (DHA)), benefits the cardiovascular system and is suitable for secondary prevention in manifest coronary heart disease. Conversely, it can increase the dietary exposure to some contaminants such as brominated flame retardants (BFRs). As a result, food products containing more than 0.1% of pentabrominated diphenyl ether (pentaBDE) and octaBDE technical mixtures were prohibited in the European Union (EU) market in August 2004, and the ban was further extended to electrical and electronic goods with decaBDE in July 2008 [1, 2]. As a consequence of these legislative acts, alternative BFRs, suitable for commercial applications as an alternative to PBDEs, have been introduced. Several of them such as 1,2-Bis(2,4,6-tribromo-phenoxy)ethane (BTBPE) have been already detected in the environment [3]. Therefore, a

simple, inexpensive, rapid, and highly sensitive analytical method, that enables collection of a large set of reliable data in a short time, is needed to help control food contamination and ensure a flexible response to the Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed (RASFF) [4].

# **Experimental**

### **Standards and Solutions**

Calibration solutions were prepared in isooctane containing BDE 28–203, HBB, PBT, PBEB, and BTBPE at concentration levels 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1, 5, 10, 50, 100, and 500 ng/mL and BDE 206, 207, 209, and OBIND at 0.25, 0.5, 1, 5, 10, 50, 100, 500, and 1,000 ng/mL. Each calibration point, as well as sample extract in isooctane, contained surrogate BDE 37 and syringe standards BDE 77 and <sup>13</sup>C-BDE 209 at 10, 5, and 50 ng/mL, respectively.

| Table 1. | List of Target Analytes, | Surrogate (SUR) and Syringe Standards (SS | S) |
|----------|--------------------------|---|----|
|----------|--------------------------|---|----|

| Abbreviation                 | Analyte                                       | CAS No.     |
|------------------------------|---|-------------|
| BDE 28                       | 2,4,4'-Tribromodiphenyl ether                 | 41318-75-6  |
| BDE 37 (SUR)                 | 3,4,4'-Tribromodiphenylether                  | 147217-81-0 |
| BDE 47                       | 2,2',4,4'-Tetrabromodiphenyl ether            | 5436-43-1   |
| BDE 49                       | 2,2′,4,5′-Tetrabromodiphenyl ether            | 243982-82-3 |
| BDE 66                       | 2,3',4,4'-Tetrabromodiphenyl ether            | 189084-61-5 |
| BDE 77 (SS)                  | 3,3',4,4'-Tetrabromodiphenyl ether            | 93703-48-1  |
| BDE 85                       | 2,2',3,4,4'-Pentabromodiphenyl ether          | 182346-21-0 |
| BDE 99                       | 2,2',4,4',5-Pentabromodiphenyl ether          | 60348-60-9  |
| BDE 100                      | 2,2',4,4',6-Pentabromodiphenyl ether          | 189084-64-8 |
| BDE 153                      | 2,2',4,4',5,5'-Hexabromodiphenyl ether        | 68631-49-2  |
| BDE 154                      | 2,2',4,4',5,6'-Hexabromodiphenyl ether        | 207122-15-4 |
| BDE 183                      | 2,2',3,4,4',5',6-Heptabromodiphenyl ether     | 207122-16-5 |
| BDE 196                      | 2,2',3,3',4,4',6,6'-Octabromodiphenyl ether   | N/A         |
| BDE 197                      | 2,2',3,3',4,4',6,6'-Octabromodiphenyl ether   | N/A         |
| BDE 203                      | 2,2′,3,4,4′,5,5′,6-Octabromodiphenyl ether    | N/A         |
| BDE 206                      | 2,2',3,3',4,4',5,5',6-Nonabromodiphenyl ether | N/A         |
| BDE 207                      | 2,2',3,3',4,4',5,6,6'-Nonabromodiphenyl ether | N/A         |
| BDE 209                      | Decabromodiphenyl ether                       | 1163-19-5   |
| <sup>13</sup> C-BDE 209 (SS) | <sup>13</sup> C-Decabromodiphenyl ether       | N/A         |
| BTBPE                        | 1,2-Bis(2,4,6-tribromo-phenoxy)ethane         | 37853-59-1  |
| HBB                          | Hexabromobenzene                              | 87-82-1     |
| PBEB                         | Pentabromoethylbenzene                        | 85-22-3     |
| PBT                          | Pentabromotoluene                             | 87-83-2     |
| OBIND                        | Octabromotrimethylphenylindane                | N/A         |

### **Chemicals and Materials**

- · Hexane (Suprasolv quality Merck, Germany or equivalent)
- Isooctane (Suprasolv quality Merck; Germany or equivalent)
- Ethyl acetate (for GC residue analysis, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany or equivalent)
- Dichloromethane (Suprasolv quality Merck, Germany or equivalent)
- Anhydrous sodium sulphate (Penta Chrudim, Czech Republic or equivalent)

Anhydrous sodium sulphate was heated at 600 °C for 7 hours and then stored in a desiccator before use. Sodium sulphate prepared and stored in this manner can be used for one month.

• Silica gel (0.063-0.200 mm) (Merck, Germany or equivalent)

Silica gel was activated by heating at 180 °C for 5 hours, then deactivated by adding 2% of deionized water, shaking for 3 hours and stored in a desiccator for 16 hours before use. Silica gel prepared and stored in this manner can be used for 14 days.

- Magnesium sulphate (Sigma Aldrich, Germany or equivalent)
- · Sodium chloride (Lach-ner, Czech Republic or equivalent)
- · Glass wool (Merck, Germany or equivalent)
- · Polypropylene tubes, 50 mL (Merci, France or equivalent)
- Glass Pasteur pipette, D812, 230-mm length (Poulten and Graf GmbH, Germany or equivalent)

### Instruments

- · Tissue blender was supplied by Retsch (Haan, Germany)
- Rotary vacuum evaporator Buchi Rotavapor R-114 and R-200 with heating bath (Buchi Rotavapor, Switzerland)
- · Centrifuge Rotina 35R (Hettich Zentrifugen, Germany)

### **Sample Preparation**

A 10-g amount of fish tissue homogenate (with surrogate BDE 37 - 10 ng absolute) was mixed with 5 mL of distilled water and shaken vigorously with 10 mL of ethyl acetate in a polypropylene centrifuge tube for 1 minute. Subsequently, 4 g of magnesium sulphate and 2 g of sodium chloride were added to the mixture.

The tube was shaken for another 1 minute, centrifuged (5 minutes, 11,000 rpm), and an aliquot of 5 mL was removed from the organic layer. The solvent was carefully eliminated by evaporation under a gentle stream of dry nitrogen gas.

The evaporated extract was redissolved in 1 mL of *n*-hexane and purified using a handmade silica gel minicolumn. The fat determination and the choice of the silica minicolumn size according to the fish muscle fat content are described elsewhere [5]. Collected eluents were carefully evaporated using a vacuum rotary evaporator, and the residual solvents were removed under a gentle stream of dry nitrogen gas. Residues were redissolved in 0.5 mL of isooctane containing BDE 77 (5 ng/mL) and <sup>13</sup>C BDE 209 (50 ng/mL) used as syringe standards.



Figure 1. General scheme of the extraction and clean-up of the fresh fish muscle tissue. (Hex – n-hexane, DCM – dichloromethane, EtOAc – ethyl acetate).

### **Instrumental Analysis**

All GC/MS experiments were performed using a gas chromatograph Agilent 7890A GC (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) coupled to a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer Agilent 7000B MS (Agilent Technologies) operated in electron ionization (EI) mode. The GC system was equipped with an Agilent 7693A auto-sampler (Agilent Technologies) and a carbon dioxide cooled multimode inlet (MMI). For the separation, a DB-XLB capillary column (15 m × 0.18 mm, 0.07 µm film thickness; Agilent Technologies) was used. Optimized conditions of GC analysis are summarized in Table 2.

#### Table 2. Optimized Conditions of GC Analysis

#### Agilent 7890 GC conditions

| Column                       | 15 m × 0.18 mm, 0.07 μm DB-XLB<br>(custom column, p/n N/A)                |
|------------------------------|---|
| Autosampler                  | Agilent 7693A Automated Liquid Sampler                                    |
| Injection                    | 2 µL cold splitless using CO <sub>2</sub> cooled<br>Multimode Inlet (MMI) |
| Injection port liner         | 2 mm id dimpled deactivated liner<br>(p/n 5190-2296)                      |
| Injector temperature program | 80 °C (0.20 minute), 600 °C/min to 285 °C                                 |
| Injection mode               | Cold pulsed splitless   |
| Injection pulse pressure     | 50 psi  |
| Splitless period             | 1.5 minutes   |
| Purge flow to split vent     | 50 mL/min at 1.0 minute   |
| Carrier gas                  | Helium  |
| Carrier gas flow             | 1.5 mL/min (11 minutes), 15 mL/min to 3 mL/min                            |
| Oven temperature program     | 110 °C (1.5 minutes), 30 °C/min to 320 °C, (3.5 minutes)                  |
| Run time                     | 12 minutes  |

The 7000B Triple Quadrupole GC/MS was operated in MS/MS electron ionization (EI) mode, and analytes detected/confirmed using Multiple Reaction Monitoring (MRM) detecting two transitions per analyte as listed in Table 3. The temperatures of the transfer line, the ion source, first quadrupole, and second quadrupole were 300 °C, 280 °C, 150 °C, and 150 °C, respectively. The collision cell gases were nitrogen (1.5 mL/min) and helium (2.25 mL/min). The electron multiplier (EM) gain values are shown in Table 3. Both MS resolutions were 1.2 amu full width at half maximum. The dwell times were adjusted to 20–80 ms depending on the number of transitions per time window to achieve five cycles/s (Hz).

MassHunter quantative analysis software (version B.04.04) (Agilent Technologies) was used for data processing.

#### Table 3. Optimized Conditions of the MS/MS Method

| Compound<br>name | Precursor<br>ion | MS1<br>resolution | Product<br>ion | MS2<br>resolution | CE | RT window<br>(min) | EM<br>gain |  |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|----|--------------------|------------|--|
|                  | 405.8            | Wide              | 246            | Wide              | 20 | 0.3                | 10         |  |
| BDE 28           | 407.8            | Wide              | 248.1          | Wide              | 22 | 0.3                | 10         |  |
| DDT              | 406.7            | Wide              | 325.8          | Wide              | 16 | 0.3                | 10         |  |
| FDI              | 406.7            | Wide              | 246.8          | Wide              | 24 | 0.3                | 10         |  |
|                  | 499.7            | Wide              | 484.6          | Wide              | 19 | 0.3                | 10         |  |
| FDED             | 499.7            | Wide              | 420.5          | Wide              | 11 | 0.3                | 10         |  |
|                  | 405.8            | Wide              | 246.0          | Wide              | 20 | 0.3                | 10         |  |
| BDE 37           | 407.8            | Wide              | 248.1          | Wide              | 22 | 0.3                | 10         |  |
|                  | 485.7            | Wide              | 326.1          | Wide              | 28 | 0.3                | 10         |  |
| BDE 49           | 483.7            | Wide              | 324.1          | Wide              | 32 | 0.3                | 10         |  |
| НВВ              | 551.7            | Wide              | 472.5          | Wide              | 26 | 0.3                | 10         |  |
|                  | 551.7            | Wide              | 391.5          | Wide              | 34 | 0.3                | 10         |  |
|                  | 485.7            | Wide              | 326.0          | Wide              | 28 | 0.3                | 10         |  |
| BUE 47           | 483.7            | Wide              | 324.1          | Wide              | 32 | 0.3                | 10         |  |
|                  | 485.7            | Wide              | 326.0          | Wide              | 28 | 0.3                | 10         |  |
| RNF 00           | 483.7            | Wide              | 324.1          | Wide              | 32 | 0.3                | 10         |  |
|                  | 485.7            | Wide              | 326.0          | Wide              | 28 | 0.3                | 10         |  |
|                  | 403.8            | Wide              | 269.9          | Wide              | 35 | 0.3                | 10         |  |

|  | Table 3. | <b>Optimized Conditions</b> | of the MS/MS | Method | (Continued) |
|--|----------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------|-------------|
|--|----------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------|-------------|

| Compound<br>name | Precursor<br>ion | MS1<br>resolution | Product<br>ion | MS2<br>resolution | CE | RT window<br>(min) | EM<br>gain |   |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|----|--------------------|------------|---|
| DDF 100          | 565.7            | Wide              | 405.8          | Wide              | 28 | 0.3                | 10         |   |
| BDE 100          | 403.8            | Wide              | 269.9          | Wide              | 35 | 0.3                | 10         |   |
|                  | 565.7            | Wide              | 405.8          | Wide              | 28 | 0.3                | 10         |   |
| DDE 99           | 403.8            | Wide              | 269.9          | Wide              | 35 | 0.3                | 10         |   |
|                  | 565.7            | Wide              | 405.8          | Wide              | 28 | 0.3                | 10         |   |
| DDE 00           | 403.8            | Wide              | 269.9          | Wide              | 35 | 0.3                | 10         |   |
|                  | 643.6            | Wide              | 483.8          | Wide              | 20 | 0.3                | 10         |   |
| BDE 104          | 483.7            | Wide              | 374.9          | Wide              | 40 | 0.3                | 10         |   |
| DDF 152          | 643.6            | Wide              | 483.8          | Wide              | 20 | 0.3                | 10         |   |
| BDE 103          | 483.7            | Wide              | 374.9          | Wide              | 40 | 0.3                | 10         |   |
|                  | 561.7            | Wide              | 454.9          | Wide              | 45 | 0.3                | 100        |   |
| BDE 183          | 721.6            | Wide              | 561.8          | Wide              | 17 | 0.3                | 100        | M   ain   D   < |
| BTBPE<br>BDE 197 | 356.8            | Wide              | 277.8          | Wide              | 13 | 0.3                | 100        |   |
|                  | 356.8            | Wide              | 328.6          | Wide              | 11 | 0.3                | 100        |   |
| DDF 107          | 801.7            | Wide              | 641.5          | Wide              | 14 | 0.3                | 100        |   |
| BDE 197          | 641.7            | Wide              | 534.5          | Wide              | 47 | 0.3                | 100        |   |
| BDE 203          | 801.7            | Wide              | 641.5          | Wide              | 14 | 0.3                | 100        |   |
| BDE 203          | 641.7            | Wide              | 534.5          | Wide              | 47 | 0.3                | 100        |   |
| BDE 196          | 801.7            | Wide              | 641.5          | Wide              | 14 | 0.3                | 100        |   |
|                  | 641.7            | Wide              | 534.5          | Wide              | 47 | 0.3                | 100        |   |
|                  | 719.6            | Wide              | 559.6          | Wide              | 49 | 0.3                | 100        |   |
| BDE 207          | 879.8            | Wide              | 719.6          | Wide              | 9  | 0.3                | 100        |   |
|                  | 719.6            | Wide              | 559.6          | Wide              | 49 | 0.3                | 100        |   |
| BDE 200          | 879.8            | Wide              | 719.6          | Wide              | 9  | 0.3                | 100        |   |
|                  | 406.7            | Wide              | 327.7          | Wide              | 25 | 0.3                | 100        |   |
| URIND            | 852.7            | Wide              | 771.7          | Wide              | 14 | 0.3                | 100        |   |
| 120 PDE 200      | 651.5            | Wide              | 543.6          | Wide              | 34 | 0.3                | 100        |   |
| 190- RDE 708     | 811.8            | Wide              | 651.4          | Wide              | 44 | 0.3                | 100        |   |
|                  | 799.4            | Wide              | 639.5          | Wide              | 44 | 0.3                | 100        |   |
| DDE 709          | 639.6            | Wide              | 530.7          | Wide              | 36 | 0.3                | 100        |   |

# **Results and Discussion**

Using the newly developed high throughput sample preparation method together with a 7890 GC and a 7000B Triple Quadrupole GC/MS system for the instrumental analysis, it is possible to analyze 21 representative BFRs. In addition to the eight PBDE congeners of primary interest (BDE 28, 47, 99, 100, 153, 154, 183, and 209) included by the EFSA CONTAM panel [6, 7] in the core group of BFRs that should be monitored, an additional eight PBDEs congeners (BDE 49, 66, 85, 196, 197, 203, 206, and 207) and five alterative BFRs (PBEB, PBT, HBB, BTBPE and OBIND) were also measured.

### **Chromatographic separation**

When analyzing PBDEs, not only the separation of target analytes, but also potential coelutions with other nontarget compounds have to be taken into consideration since many isomers may occur in real-world samples. For these reasons, 30-m long capillary columns are typically employed. However, when highly brominated thermo-degradable compounds such as BDE 209 have to be analyzed, shorter (10–15-m) columns are often required. Therefore, the risk of coelutions may arise. Moreover, when NCI is employed, in which case the bromine isotope pattern (m/z 79 and 81) is detected, other brominated compounds might easily interfere. Conversely, El generates more specific [M<sup>+</sup>] and [M–Br<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> ions, and <sup>13</sup>C-labelled standards can be used to facilitate accurate quantification, but higher quantification limits are commonly achieved when compared to NCI.

As shown in Figures 2 and 3, using a 7890 GC with a 7000B Triple Quadrupole system equipped with an Agilent DB-XLB (15 m  $\times$  0.18 mm, 0.07 µm) capillary column operated in El mode, all 21 target BFRs were resolved in less than 12 minutes compared to a 17.5 minutes GC run using the single quadrupole in NCI mode (Figure 4). The reduced time needed for the instrumental analysis results from the high separation efficiency of a DB-XLB column and triple quadrupole mass analyzer operated in EI-MRM, in which case separation of PBDEs from other brominated compound using highly selective precursor and product ions was possible. As seen in Figure 2, the coelution of PBEB and BDE 37 could be easily resolved using different MS/MS (EI) ion transitions. However, it was not possible to resolve the coelution of BDE 28 and PBT in Figure 4, when GC/MS (NCI) was employed.



Figure 2. An example of chromatogram (GC–EI–MS/MS) of fish muscle tissue spiked at 5 µg/kg.



Figure 3. An example of chromatogram (GC–EI–MS/MS) of naturally contaminated fish muscle tissue.



Figure 4. An example of chromatogram (GC–NCI–MS) of fish muscle tissue spiked at 5 µg/kg.

### Method performance characteristics

Using the final GC/MS/MS setup, the repeatability of instrument analysis was tested on the standard mixture of all target compounds in isooctane at a concentration of 100 ng/mL (corresponding to 10  $\mu$ g/kg fish muscle tissue). The repeatability of GC/MS/MS response for all target compounds, expressed as a relative standard deviation (RSD, %), was in the range of 1–7%.

The sample preparation method and optimized GC/MS/MS analysis conditions detailed in the Experimental section of this application note were evaluated in a validation study and

the overview of validation data (recovery, repeatability, Limit of Quantification (LOQ), and linearity of the system) is summarized in Table 4. In order to validate the entire analytical method, samples of fish muscle tissue were spiked with all target analytes at two concentration levels (1 and 5  $\mu$ g/kg) and then prepared and analyzed. With each batch of samples, the procedural blank was prepared (that is, the sample was processed in the same way, but without the use of test matrix). The recovery (%) was calculated as an absolute recovery (not corrected to the recovery of surrogate standard) and repeatability (%) was expressed as a relative standard deviation (RSD). The recoveries (%) and RSD (%) were in the range: 78–115% (RSD 2–14%). Based on preliminary GC/MS/MS measurements using matrix samples contaminated at low concentrations, the LOQs in fish muscle tissue were in the following range: 0.005 µg/kg corresponding to 0.05 ng/mL (higher values were achieved for highly brominated BFRs).

With regards to a wide concentration range of target analytes occurring in fresh fish tissue, it is necessary to use an extensive scale of working standard solutions for calibration 0.05–500 ng/mL (0.25–1,000 ng/mL in case of BDE 206, 207, 209, and OBIND). Weighted linear regression (1/x) was used and the regression coefficient ( $R^2$ ) was calculated for the calibration curve from the LOQ up to the highest calibration point (500 ng/mL or 1,000 ng/mL). Within these experiments, all target analytes fulfil the linearity in calibration range mentioned above with regression coefficient ( $R^2$ ) higher than 0.99.

| Analyte | 1 μg/kg |         | 5 <b>μ</b> ί | j∕kg    | L00.    | Linearity                  |
|---------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|---------|----------------------------|
|         | REC (%) | RSD (%) | REC (%)      | RSD (%) | (μg∕kg) | ( <b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> )* |
| BDE 28  | 89      | 2       | 92           | 6       | 0.005   | 0.9990                     |
| BDE 47  | 78      | 7       | 83           | 5       | 0.005   | 0.9983                     |
| BDE 49  | 100     | 6       | 97           | 4       | 0.005   | 0.9993                     |
| BDE 66  | 100     | 6       | 96           | 5       | 0.005   | 0.9989                     |
| BDE 85  | 107     | 7       | 106          | 6       | 0.005   | 0.9984                     |
| BDE 99  | 106     | 8       | 100          | 5       | 0.005   | 0.9982                     |
| BDE 100 | 102     | 8       | 98           | 5       | 0.005   | 0.9981                     |
| BDE 153 | 107     | 10      | 101          | 7       | 0.05    | 0.9985                     |
| BDE 154 | 99      | 10      | 93           | 6       | 0.005   | 0.9984                     |
| BDE 183 | 100     | 8       | 104          | 10      | 0.05    | 0.9936                     |
| BDE 196 | 83      | 14      | 81           | 8       | 0.1     | 0.9964                     |
| BDE 197 | 86      | 12      | 93           | 12      | 0.1     | 0.9990                     |
| BDE 203 | 79      | 12      | 81           | 12      | 0.1     | 0.9929                     |
| BDE 206 | 79      | 10      | 86           | 13      | 1       | 0.9987                     |
| BDE 207 | 85      | 12      | 89           | 14      | 0.5     | 0.9963                     |
| BDE 209 | 81      | 8       | 79           | 11      | 1       | 0.9985                     |
| РВТ     | 115     | 10      | 114          | 5       | 0.05    | 0.9994                     |
| PBEB    | 105     | 7       | 105          | 4       | 0.01    | 0.9959                     |
| НВВ     | 102     | 12      | 103          | 3       | 0.05    | 0.9969                     |
| BTBPE   | 113     | 13      | 113          | 12      | 0.01    | 0.9973                     |
| OBIND   | 104     | 11      | 107          | 10      | 1       | 0.9929                     |

Table 4. Overview of Validation Data Obtained Within the Validation Study of Sample Preparation Method and Optimized GC/MS/MS Analysis

\* The regression coefficient ( $R^2$ ) was calculated for the calibration curve from the LOQ up to the highest calibration point (500 ng/mL = 50  $\mu$ g/kg and 1,000 ng/mL = 100  $\mu$ g/kg in case of BDE 206, 207, 209, and OBIND).

# Conclusions

A newly developed procedure based on an ethyl acetate—aqueous sample suspension partition step followed by the SPE minicolumn silica cleanup, laboratory throughput can be improved; up to six samples can be prepared in less than 1 hour compared to several hours needed for Soxhlet extraction followed by other common cleanup techniques. In addition, the volume of extraction solvents is also significantly reduced when applying the new sample processing strategy, therefore not only reducing cost but also providing a more environmentally friendly analysis.

Method performance characteristics of the sample preparation and optimized GC/MS/MS analysis conditions detailed in the Experimental section of this application note agreed with the SANCO document No. 12495/2011 [8], originally designed for pesticide residue analysis but commonly applied to other organic food contaminants (recoveries in the range 70–120% and repeatability less than 20%). The recoveries of all target analytes were in the range 78–115% and repeatabilities (expressed as relative standard deviation, RSD) did not exceed 14% even at the lower spiking level. Under the optimized GC/MS/MS (EI) conditions the LOQs were 0.005  $\mu$ g/kg (higher values were achieved for highly brominated BFRs).

Triple quadrupole MS operated in EI represents a good alternative to routine single quadrupole MS, because precursor and product ions in the high m/z region can be selected, providing less interference and improved selectivity. Using this approach, analysis of even trace levels of BFRs, which are necessary for reliable data assessment conducted within exposition studies, is feasible. Further details relating to this application are also available in a recently published journal article [9].

# References

- 1. EC: Directive 2003/11/EC of 6 February 2003 amending for the 24th time Council Directive 76/769/EEC relating to restrictions on the marketing and use of certain dangerous substances and preparations (pentabromodiphenyl ether, octabromodiphenyl ether).
- 2. BSEF. Bromine Science and Environmental Forum; 2012. http://www.bsef.com (accessed June 26, 2012).
- A. Covaci, S. Harrad, M. A.-E. Abdallah, N. Ali, R. J. Law, D. Herzke. C, A. de Wit, Environ. Int. 37 (2011), 532–556.
- Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed, http://ec.europa.eu/food/food/rapidalert/index en.htm (accessed June 26, 2012).
- K. Kalachova, J. Pulkrabova, L. Drabova, T. Cajka, V. Kocourek, J. Hajslova, Anal. Chim. Acta. 707 (2011), 84–91.
- 6. EFSA, Advice of the scientific panel on contaminants in the food chain on a request from the commission related to relevant chemical compounds in the group of brominated flame retardants for monitoring in feed and food Request for data on Brominated Flame Retardants Levels in Foodstuffs, EFSA Journal. 328 (2006), 1–4.
- 7. EFSA, Scientific Opinion on Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) in Food. EFSA Journal. (2011), 9(5), 2156.
- 8. Document no. SANCO/12495/2011. Method validation and quality control procedures for pesticides residues analysis in food and feed.
- 9. K.Kalachova, T. Cajka, C. Sandy, J. Hajslova, J. Pulkrabova, Talanta 105 (2013), 109-116.

# **For More Information**

For more information on our products and services, visit our Web site at www.agilent.com/chem.

### www.agilent.com/chem

Agilent shall not be liable for errors contained herein or for incidental or consequential damages in connection with the furnishing, performance, or use of this material.

Information, descriptions, and specifications in this publication are subject to change without notice.  $% \left( {{{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}}} \right)$ 

© Agilent Technologies, Inc., 2013 Printed in the USA January 7, 2013 5991-0887EN

