## Simple, fast and sensitive method to analyze up to 40 PAHs and PCBs in a single GC-MS/MS run
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## Goal

This method demonstrates the quantitative performance of the Thermo Scientific ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ TSQ $^{\text {TM }} 9000$ triple quadrupole GC-MS/MS system equipped with the Advanced Electron Ionization (AEl) source for the routine analysis of PAHs and PCBs in a single run, based on a quick, sensitive and robust workflow which increases coverage and improves turnaround time, while eliminating the need for large sample volumes, thus reducing the amount of extraction solvent used, as well as sample preparation time and storage.


## Introduction

Polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are toxic organic compounds. Contract testing laboratories (CTLs) utilize multiple strategies to analyze these compound classes in various matrices (e.g., soil, food, wastewater, lotions, etc.). Diverse industries including cosmetics, food and environmental testing labs are invested in the analysis of PAHs.

Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) is well suited for the analysis of PAHs and PCBs. With the increased presence of triple quadrupole GC-MS/MS mass spectrometers in laboratories, selectivity can be increased in comparison to classical detectors (e.g., ECD, FID). This results in the ability to cut through chemical background (interference) and enhances the capability and productivity of testing laboratories while maintaining the low quantification limits that are required by regulatory requirements.

A common testing method using GC-MS can identify 7 PCBs and 16 PAHs, from naphthalene to Benzo[g,h,i] perylene, covering a range of boiling points (BP) from $218^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $550^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. By incorporating the Thermo Scientific ${ }^{\text {rw }}$ Instant Connect Programmable Temperature Vaporizing (PTV) Injector and a specialized column ( $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), this range can be extended to include compounds with even higher BP, as well as the analysis of the critical pair "Dibenzo[a,e],[a,i] and $[a, h]$ pyrene", which are typically analyzed in LC-based methods.

This application brief describes a highly productive analysis of PAHs and PCBs (Table 1) in water samples through a consolidated GC-MS/MS method using the Thermo Scientific ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ TRACE $^{\text {m }} 1310$ GC and the TSQ 9000 triple quadrupole GC-MS/MS. The method is characterized by a shorter analysis time and increased coverage for both

PCBs and PAHs in a single run with good resolution and low LOQs in accordance with regulatory limits. At the same time, it uses a reduced volume of water sample, resulting in less solvent needed during the extraction step of the sample preparation, while ensuring good robustness and increased productivity of the method. This note will also cover smart software tools that are integrated into the analysis workflow to minimize the time needed to implement and maintain the methodology in routine testing.

This new method was developed to reduce the overall lab workload for the analysis of targeted compounds with a simplified sample preparation and a single analysis. For the entire list of compounds in Table 1 to be analyzed effectively, the TRACE 1310 GC and an innovative column chemistry were used to optimize the chromatographic separation of critical isomer pairs (Table 2). The column performance was such that the overall injection-to-injection

Table 1. Target PAH and PCB compounds

| Compound | CAS | Compound | CAS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | PCB-138 | 35065-28-2 |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 91-57-6 | PCB-180 | 35065-29-3 |
| 1-MethyInaphthalene | 90-12-0 | PCB-170 | 35065-30-6 |
| Acenaphthylene | 208-96-8 | Benzo[a]anthracene | 56-55-3 |
| Acenaphthene | 83-32-9 | Chrysene | 218-01-9 |
| Fluorene | 86-73-7 | Benzo[b]fluoranthene | 205-99-2 |
| PCB-18 | 37680-65-2 | Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 207-08-9 |
| Phenanthrene | 85-01-8 | Benzo[j]fluoranthene | 205-82-3 |
| Anthracene | 120-12-7 | Benzo[e]pyrene | 192-97-2 |
| PCB-31 | 16606-02-3 | Benzo[a]pyrene | 50-32-8 |
| PCB-28 | 7012-37-5 | 3-MethylCholanthrene | 56-49-5 |
| PCB-52 | 35693-99-3 | Dibenzo[a,h]acridine | 226-36-8 |
| PCB-44 | 41464-39-5 | Dibenzo[a,j]acridine | 224-42-0 |
| PCB-101 | 37680-73-2 | Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | 193-39-5 |
| Fluoranthene | 206-44-0 | Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | 53.-70-3 |
| PCB-149 | 38380-04-0 | Benzo[g,h,i]perylene | 191-24-2 |
| PCB-118 | 31508-00-6 | Dibenzo[a,e]pyrene | 192-65-4 |
| Pyrene | 129-00-0 | Dibenzo[a,i]pyrene | 189-55-9 |
| PCB-153 | 35065-27-1 | Dibenzo[a,h]pyrene | 189-64-0 |
| Benzo[a]fluorene | 238-84-6 |  |  |
| Benzo[b]fluorene | 243-17-4 |  |  |

time could be reduced to 24 min without sacrificing chromatographic separation. Resolution of critical isomer pairs has been monitored over more than 80 injections of water (both raw water and wastewater) extracts. The selectivity of the TSQ 9000 GC-MS/MS equipped with Advanced Electron Ionization (AEl) source was used to reach the required lowered limit of quantification.

## Method

## Sample preparation

Labs that are testing for PAHs and PCBs are challenged by tedious, time-consuming and costly sample preparation steps, as well as the need to concentrate large sample
volumes of water to reach the regulatory LOQ levels. Further, long chromatographic separations (>35 min per sample) and separate methods are often required, which negatively affect turnaround time and significantly increase the cost per sample.

In order to anticipate the reduced volume of water, standards were injected over a wide concentration range ( $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ to $300 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ).

Table 2: Compound isomers requiring critical chromatographic separation

| Isomer 1 | Isomer 2 | Isomer 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PCB-28 | PCB-31 | - |
| Anthracene | Phenanthrene | - |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 1-MethyInaphthalene | - |
| Benzo[a]fluorene | Benzo[b]fluorene | - |
| Benzo[a]anthracene | Chrysene | - |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene | Benzo[k]fluoranthene | Benzo[j]fluoranthene |
| Benzo[e]pyrene | Benzo[a]pyrene | - |
| Dibenzo[a,h]acridine | Dibenzo[aj]acridine |  |
| Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene |  |
| Dibenzo[a,e]pyrene | Dibenzo[a,i]pyrene | Dibenzo[a,h]pyrene |



Figure 1. Comparison of conventional and improved water sample preparation workflow

## Gas chromatography and mass spectrometry

TRACE 1310 GC with PTV Injector
Thermo Scientific ${ }^{\text {rm }}$ PTV Siltek Metal Liner, $2 \times 2.75 \times 120$ mm (P/N 45322044)

| Injection volume | $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{MeCN})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Injector temperature | $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $360^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Column flow | $1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| GC oven program | $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1$ min |
|  | $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
|  | $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $227^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  | $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  | $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $316^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.50 \mathrm{~min}$ |
|  | $1.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $319^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $328^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $340^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10.20 \mathrm{~min}$ |
|  | (total run time 23.982 min) |



Figure 2. TSQ 9000 with AEI source

## Results and discussion

## Linearity

Calibration curves were generated in the range of $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ to $300 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ for the PAHs and PCBs. The curves were corrected with an appropriate internal standard (ITSD), as shown in Table 3. All curves had a regression coefficient higher than 0.990 and an amount deviation at LOQ ( $0.4 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ ) less than 20\% , using a linear with offset (1/A) calibration type.

Table 3. Calibration curves for PAH and PCB compounds

| Compound | ISTD | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Difference (\%) - LOQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naphthalene | Napthalene-d8 | 0.9997 | 0.2 |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | Napthalene-d8 | 0.9995 | 3.2 |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | Napthalene-d8 | 0.9997 | 0.8 |
| Acenaphthylene | Acenaphthene-d10 | 0.9998 | 4.5 |
| Acenaphthene | Acenaphthene-d10 | 0.9997 | -5.8 |
| Fluorene | Acenaphthene-d10 | 0.9999 | -1.6 |
| PCB-18 | Acenaphthene-d10 | 0.9997 | -2.5 |
| Phenanthrene | Phenanthrene-d10 | 0.9995 | -3.6 |
| Anthracene | Phenanthrene-d10 | 0.9996 | -4.1 |
| PCB-31 | PCB-53 | 0.9990 | 0.8 |
| PCB-28 | PCB-53 | 0.9990 | -3.0 |
| PCB-52 | PCB-53 | 0.9996 | -0.8 |
| PCB-44 | PCB-53 | 1.0000 | -4.1 |
| PCB-101 | PCB-53 | 0.9999 | -7.0 |
| Fluoranthene | Phenanthrene-d10 | 0.9979 | -15.5 |
| PCB-149 | PCB-53 | 0.9985 | -8.0 |
| PCB-118 | PCB-53 | 0.9984 | -10.4 |
| Pyrene | Chrysene-d12 | 0.9976 | -1.8 |
| PCB-153 | PCB-53 | 0.9981 | -14.2 |
| Benzo[a]fluorene | Chrysene-d12 | 0.9993 | -6.6 |
| Benzo[b]fluorene | Chrysene-d12 | 0.9993 | -8.1 |

Table 3. Calibration curves for PAH and PCB compounds (continued)

| Compound | ISTD | R2 | Difference <br> (\%) - LOQ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| PCB-138 | Chrysene-d12 | 0.9984 | -1.1 |
| PCB-180 | Chrysene-d12 | 0.9992 | -3.0 |
| PCB-170 | Chrysene-d12 | 0.9996 | -8.0 |
| Benzo[a]anthracene | Chrysene-d12 | 0.9997 | -10.4 |
| Chrysene | Chrysene-d12 | 0.9996 | 4.8 |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene | Perylene-d12 | 0.9988 | -10.5 |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | Perylene-d12 | 0.9994 | -4.3 |
| Benzo[jfluoranthene | Perylene-d12 | 0.9996 | -7.2 |
| Benzo[e]pyrene | Perylene-d12 | 0.9997 | -2.1 |
| Benzo[a]pyrene | Perylene-d12 | 0.9997 | -11.8 |
| 3-methyl cholanthrene | Perylene-d12 | 0.9995 | 14.2 |
| Dibenzo[a,h]acridine | Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene-d14 | 0.9998 | 7.2 |
| Dibenzo[a,]acridine | Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene-d14 | 0.9990 | -4.2 |
| Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene-d14 | 0.9990 | -5.3 |
| Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene-d14 | 0.9998 | -4.3 |
| Benzo[ghi]perylene | Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene-d14 | 0.9997 | -0.6 |
| Dibenzo[a,e]pyrene | Coronene-d12 | 0.9995 | 11.9 |
| Dibenzo[a,i]pyrene | Coronene-d12 | 0.9993 | 16.2 |
| Dibenzo[a,h]pyrene | Coronene-d12 | 0.9998 | 14.1 |

## Sensitivity

The following chromatograms present all critical compounds at the very low level of $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, demonstrating good resolution, peak shape and signal-to-noise ratio (Figure 3).




Figure 3. Overlaid SRM (quantifier and qualifier) at $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ for critical compound isomers

## Resolution

This new method enables the resolution of all critical pairs. Minimum required chromatographic resolution has been also obtained for all isomers (Table 4). Per NF ISO 28540, a minimum of chromatographic resolution of $R>0.8$ is required for benzo[b]fluoranthene, benzo[k]fluoranthene and benzo[j]fluoranthene.

Table 4. Chromatographic peak resolution

| Peak name | Resolution (SM) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 2.7 |
| 1-MethyInaphthalene |  |
| Phenanthrene | 1.5 |
| Anthracene |  |
| PCB-31 | 0.8 |
| PCB-28 |  |
| Benzo[a]fluorene | 2.2 |
| Benzo[b]fluorene |  |
| Benzo[a]anthracene | 2.3 |
| Chrysene |  |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene | 0.9 |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene |  |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 1.2 |
| Benzo[j]fluoranthene |  |
| Benzo[e]pyrene | 1.7 |
| Benzo[a]pyrene |  |
| Dibenzo[a,h]acridine | 0.7 |
| Dibenzo[a,j]acridine |  |
| Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | 0.8 |
| Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene |  |
| Dibenzo[a,e]pyrene | 1.1 |
| Dibenzo[a,i]pyrene |  |
| Dibenzo[a,i]pyrene | 1.3 |
| Dibenzo[a,h]pyrene |  |

## Reproducibility

Appropriate reproducibility results at the LOQ level ( $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) were obtained with several consecutive injections of water samples. Each sample has been injected 10 times (from same vial) and the RSD (\%) values were calculated using internal standard calibration. All compounds demonstrated excellent reproducibility results and the RSD (\%) was less than $25 \%$.

Table 5. Reproducibility at LOQ $(0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$

| Compound | RSD (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Naphthalene | 8 |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 9 |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 8 |
| Acenaphthylene | 12 |
| Acenaphthene | 22 |
| Fluorene | 15 |
| PCB-18 | 9 |
| Phenanthrene | 9 |
| Anthracene | 8 |
| PCB-31 | 9 |
| PCB-28 | 10 |
| PCB-52 | 6 |
| PCB-44 | 6 |
| PCB-101 | 5 |
| Fluoranthene | 5 |
| PCB-149 | 5 |
| PCB-118 | 4 |
| Pyrene | 5 |
| PCB-153 | 4 |
| Benzo[a]fluorene | 6 |
| Benzo[b]fluorene | 5 |
| PCB-138 | 6 |
| PCB-180 | 5 |
| PCB-170 | 8 |
| Benzo[a]anthracene | 8 |
| Chrysene | 19 |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene | 6 |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 7 |
| Benzo[j]fluoranthene | 6 |
| Benzo[e]pyrene | 7 |
| Benzo[a]pyrene | 8 |
| 3-MethylCholanthrene | 11 |
| Dibenzo[a,h]acridine | 14 |
| Dibenzo[a,j]acridine | 17 |
| Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | 17 |
| Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | 14 |
| Benzo[g,h,i]perylene | 14 |
| Dibenzo[a,e]pyrene | 16 |
| Dibenzo[a,i]pyrene | 16 |
| Dibenzo[a,h]pyrene | 14 |

proposed method for all compounds (Figures 4 and 5). All compounds were within the acceptable $2 \sigma$ of standard deviation.


Figure 4. Critical compounds - QC results at $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ (within $2 \sigma$ deviation)


Figure 5. ISTD - QC results (within $\sigma$ deviation)

## Software analysis

Thermo Scientific ${ }^{\text {Tw }}$ Chromeleon ${ }^{\text {Tm }}$ 7.3 CDS Software can help laboratories improve data reprocessing and save time. For example, the customized interactive view (Figure 6) makes it easy to quickly check the calibration curve so that the all level response (blue dots) fit within the tolerance
limits (red line). Criteria can be adjusted for each calibration level. Interactive charts within the software helps users to perform a quick quality control test. This automated process can be easily adapted to meet laboratory requirements and thereby minimizing the time needed for checking data.





Figure 6. Interactive charts for calibration curve check

## thermo scientific

## Conclusion

This method demonstrates an integrated sample preparation and instrument set-up that improves the PCB and PAH analysis workflow by:

- Incorporating a simplified sample preparation step that reduces sample volume and requires less solvent for extraction
- Implementing an innovative column chemistry for retention and appropriate separation of challenging compounds in the shortest possible time frame ( $<25 \mathrm{~min}$ )
- Using a PTV injector module to analyze a wider compound volatility and chemistry range within the same run.
- Incorporating an AEI source into the TSQ 9000 to achieve the highest sensitivity.
- Utilizing flexible view settings using Chromeleon 7.3 CDS Software to improve data reprocessing and save time

In summary, this new method offers faster turnaround time, improved sensitivity and increased coverage.

## Find out more at thermofisher.com/TSQ9000

